



Discussion panel outcomes

# Regulatory Agencies and Ministries Meeting

National Core Center March 21, 2023































## T a b l e 1 Safeguarding the historical inventory of cores and physical well samples.

#### **Participants:**

- National Hydrocarbons Commission, Mexico
- Ministry of Natural Resources, Petroleum and Mining, Belize
- Perupetro, Peru
- National Hydrocarbons Agency, Colombia

#### **Conclusions:**

- Perupetro and the Ministry of Natural Resources, Petroleum and Mining of Belize showed interest in gaining detailed knowledge of physical sample submission regulation.
- The National Core Center's process for submission, access, and loan of physical samples was explained in detail.
- Academic Institutions are the main users. Digitization of the entire Core Center's image database is the next technological improvement to be implemented.
- Cores are the most consulted samples.
- Processes of physical sample submission and access are similar in Colombia and Mexico.
- It is important to make investments through Agreements.



## Table 2 Exploration and development plans.

#### **Participants:**

- National Hydrocarbons Commission, Mexico
- National Hydrocarbons Agency, Colombia
- Ministry of Energy and Mines, Peru
- Ministry of Energy and Energy Industries, Trinidad and Tobago
- Bureau of Ocean and Energy Management, United States of America
- ANCAP, (National Administration of Fuels, Alcohols and Portland), Uruguay
- Staatsolie, Suriname
- YPFB (Bolivian National Oilfields), Bolivia

#### **Conclusions:**

- It was observed that approval stages in Exploration and Development Plans occur in a similar way.
- Companies must return areas to the State as soon as exploration periods conclude.
- Regulatory Body Flexibility was suggested, from efficient exploration and extraction route incorporation to consideration circumstance changes in the drilling process.
- Follow up meetings will be held, and a work plan will be developed for further specific discussion.



### Table 3 Supporting community development.

#### Participants:

- National Hydrocarbons Commission, Mexico
- Perupetro, Peru
- Bureau of Ocean and Energy Management, United States
- AMEXHI, (National Association of Hydrocarbon Producers), Mexico
- ANPHI, (Mexican Association of Hydrocarbon Companies), Mexico

Participants selected four objectives that were considered most relevant in terms of outcomes. Participants suggested activities to promote each objective.

### 1) Strengthening technical, undergraduate, and graduate education programs in influence areas.

#### **Activities**

- Infrastructure creation in schools located in influence areas.
- Extended internships in universities.
- Specialized studies in local communities.

#### 2) Creating a fund to finance social projects in influence areas.

#### **Activities**

- Traceability of resources. Targeting economic resources to a specific social aim.
- Creation of a long-term project pool agreed upon by the community and the State.
- KPIs to follow up on long-term impact and report on resource utilization.

#### 3) Including social impact studies in oil development

#### **Activities**

- Creating handbooks for social impact best practice implementation.
- Designing policies to follow up on presented studies.

#### 4) Including follow up models during project development.

#### **Activities**

• Creating an autonomous fund to follow up on the benefited area, considering economic, social, educational aspects.



### Table 4 Energy regulatory efficiency

#### **Participants:**

- National Hydrocarbons Commission, Mexico
- AAPG (American Association of Petroleum Geologists)
- ARPEL (Regional Association of Oil, Gas and Biofuel Sector Companies in Latin America and the Caribbean)
- ANPHI, Mexico
- AMEXHI Mexico

#### Participants agreed on the following statements:

- a) Regulation provides legal certainty, which translates into stability for companies.
- **b)** Listening to and consulting industry stakeholders is an essential requirement to maintain regulatory efficiency, even if not all proposals can be met.
- c) Experience in regulatory compliance allows for regulation adjustments that reflect industry reality.
- **d)** What was once efficient may not be efficient in the future. Participant operation, contract maturation and compliance with regulation drive regulatory changes.
- e) There must be coordination between energy, environmental, security and tax authorities, among others, to allow to bring together different regulations that apply to the hydrocarbon industry.
- **f)** Energy regulatory efficiency must be dynamic, and must take into consideration timing, industry dynamics, and good industry operation.